Ц	valley Countie	S TWO-Flatt Model Continuercial Flatt		KFF UZ	<u>-23604</u>
	Provider Net (Technical P	work Detail roposal Requirement 6.a)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
	Н.	Are contracting or plan operated Pharmacies RxL?	s identified and	d denoted by a	n Rx or
	I.	Are contracting or plan operated Laboratorie LL?	s identified an	nd denoted by a	n L or
	J.	Are contracting or plan operated Eye care fa or OL?	cilities identifi	ed and denoted	d by an O
	K.	Are contracting or employed Specialists and identified and denoted by an S or SL?	ancillary heal	th care provide	rs
	L.	Is map consistent with lists provided in Technology, 6.c., 6.d., 6.e. and 6.f.?	nical Proposa	l Requirements	(TPR)

		etwork Detail Proposal Requirement 6.b)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned			
22.	To what extent did the Proposer submit an index to the map furnished in TPR 6.a. which shows a symbol placed on the map for a Primary Care Provider; specialist; ancillary provider; hospital; or emergency care facility?							
	When evaluating this question, consider the following:							
	A. Did the Proposer submit an index identifying the symbols used on the maps?							
	B.	Are the types of Primary Care Providers ide	ntified?					
	C. Is the calculation of FTE Primary Care Providers available to Medi-Cal Memleach Primary Care site and the aggregate number of Medi-Cal Members that be assigned to each site noted?							
	D.	Are Traditional and Safety-Net Providers ide	entified?					
	E.	Are the types of specialists identified?						

Provider Network Detail (Technical Proposal Requirement 6.c)		Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
23.	To what extent did the Proposer provide a complete list of Physicians who will provide covered physician services to Medi-Cal members? Are lists arranged by Physician specialty and zip code and separated for Primary		5	

Provider Network Detail (Technical Proposal Requirement 6.c)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
Care Physicians and specialists?			

When evaluating this question, consider the following:

- A. Did the Proposer include separate hard copy lists for 1) Network Primary Care Physicians and 2) Specialists?
- B. Is information presented according to Appendix 12 for Primary Care Physicians and Appendix 13 for Specialists?
- C. Are Primary Care Physicians categorized by specialty and within each zip code (i.e., Internist, Family Practice, Pediatrician, Obstetrician)?
- D. Has Proposer submitted Primary Care Physician information in Microsoft Excel or Access formats (1997 or 2000 version) or in an ASCII text file and in the format specified in Appendix 14?
- E. Is the Primary Care Physician listing consistent with information presented on map (see TPR 6.a.)?
- F. Are Primary Care Physicians located within 10 miles or 30 minutes from any beneficiary resident point?
- G. Is the Member capacity of Primary Care Physicians (based on full-time equivalents) in compliance with the 1:2000 ratio?
- H. Is the number and location of Pediatricians and OB/GYN Physicians relative to beneficiary residences reasonable and consistent with DHS's goal to improve access to these services?

Specialists:

- I. Are Specialists categorized by specialty and within each zip code?
- J. Has Proposer submitted Specialist information in Microsoft Excel or Access formats (1997 or 2000 version) or in an ASCII text file and in the format specified in Appendix 14?
- K. Is the Specialist Physician listing consistent with information presented on map (see TPR 6.a.)?
- L. Does the network include the following core Specialists?
 - 1) Allergist and immunologists
 - 2) Anesthesiologists
 - 3) Cardiologists
 - 4) Dermatologists
 - 5) Endocrinologists
 - 6) Gastroenterologists
 - 7) Hematologists/Oncologists
 - 8) Infectious Disease

	Octail	Deinte		-25804
			•	Equals Total
			_	Points
		(3-7)	, g	Earned
9)	Geneticists			
10)	Neonatologists			
11)	Nephrologists			
12)	Neurologists			
13)	Neurosurgeons			
14)	Ophthalmologists			
15)	Orthopedic surgeons			
16)	Otolaryngologists			
17)	Podiatrists			
18)	Pathologists			
19 [°])	Perinatologist			
20)	Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation	Specialists		
21)		•		
(0.000000000000000000000000000000000000		alists		
000000000000000000000000000000000000000				
25)	Trauma Medicine Specialists			
26 <u>)</u>	Urologists			
	9) 10) 11) 12) 13) 14) 15) 16) 17) 18) 19) 20) 21) 22) 23) 24)	10) Neonatologists 11) Nephrologists 12) Neurologists 13) Neurosurgeons 14) Ophthalmologists 15) Orthopedic surgeons 16) Otolaryngologists 17) Podiatrists 18) Pathologists 19) Perinatologist 20) Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation 21) Pulmonary Specialists 22) Radiologists/Nuclear Medicine Speci 23) Rheumatologists 24) Surgeons	9) Geneticists 10) Neonatologists 11) Nephrologists 12) Neurologists 13) Neurosurgeons 14) Ophthalmologists 15) Orthopedic surgeons 16) Otolaryngologists 17) Podiatrists 18) Pathologists 19) Perinatologist 20) Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Specialists 21) Pulmonary Specialists 22) Radiologists/Nuclear Medicine Specialists 23) Rheumatologists 24) Surgeons	9) Geneticists 10) Neonatologists 11) Nephrologists 12) Neurologists 13) Neurosurgeons 14) Ophthalmologists 15) Orthopedic surgeons 16) Otolaryngologists 17) Podiatrists 18) Pathologists 19) Perinatologist 20) Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Specialists 21) Pulmonary Specialists 22) Radiologists/Nuclear Medicine Specialists 23) Rheumatologists 24) Surgeons

	Provider Network Detail (Technical Proposal Requirement 6.d)		Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned			
24.	non-p nurse physi Did th supe	nat extent did the Proposer submit a list of all physician medical practitioners including a practitioners, nurse midwives, and cian assistants in the proposed network? The Proposer arrange the list by the rvising Physician's specialty (or for midwives' ory physician's specialty) and by zip code?		5				
	When evaluating this question, consider the following:							
	A.	A. Has Proposer included non-physician medical practitioner information in the format specified in Appendix 15, Non-Physician Medical Practitioners?						
	B. Is non-physician medical practitioner listing consistent wi on map (see TPR 6.a.)?				resented			
C. Do any non-physician medical practitioners have independent the availability of non-physician medical practitioners enhancement of the primary Care in the network?								
	D.	Does Proposer have at least one contracting	g mid-wife?					

Provider Network Detail (Technical Proposal Requirement 6.e)		Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
25.	To what extent did the Proposer submit complete information on all other providers not included in the Physician, non-physician medical practitioner, and hospital listings that will provide services to Medi-Cal Members?		5	

When evaluating this question, consider the following:

- A. Did the Proposer submit the following information:
 - 1) Name of provider.
 - 2) Street address of provider, and a list of facility sites at which services are rendered.
 - 3) Business classification (such as: professional corporation, sole proprietor, partnership).
 - 4) License number.
 - The health care services it provides to enrollees of the plan (e.g., home health agencies, ambulance services, laboratory, pharmacy, skilled nursing facility, surgery-centers, mental health, family planning, etc.).
 - 6) Hours of operation and the provision made for after-hours service (i.e., Monday thought Friday 8-5, closed Wednesdays).
 - 7) Relationship to the contractor (owned by, contracting with, etc.).
 - 8) If entity is a Traditional or Safety-Net Provider.
- B. Is the response consistent with information provided in TPRs 6.a and 6.b. above?
- C. Are pharmacies and laboratory services easily accessible and located in all areas of the county?

1	ider Network Detail hnical Proposal Requirement 6.f)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
26.	To what extent did the Proposer provide information for each hospital providing services to Medi-Cal Members?		5	

Central Valley Counties Two-Plan Model Commercial Plan RFP 02-25804						
Medical Expense Ratio						
(Technical Proposal Requirement 8.b)	3	X	50	=	150	
Claims Processing/Monitoring						
(Technical Proposal Requirement 8.c.1.)	3	Χ	10	=	30	
(Technical Proposal Requirement 8.c.2.)	3	X	10	=	30	
Provider Incentive Plans						
Technical Proposal Requirement 8.d)	3	X	10	=	30	
Total Possible Points	3	Χ	100	=	300	

Evaluation Criteria

1	ider Compensation hnical Proposal Requirement 8.a)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
34.	To what extent does the Proposer explain/disclose how the following contracting providers are likely to be compensated?		20	

When evaluating this question, consider the following:

- A. Did Proposer provide the required explanation/documentation?
- B. Are the schedules of capitation rates, per diem rates and/or fee-for-service rates included for each of the following types of providers?
 - 1) Primary Care Providers
 - 2) Medical Groups and Independent Practice Associations
 - 3) Specialists
 - 4) Hospitals
 - 5) Pharmacies
- C. Do the compensation arrangements with the provider network appear adequate to maintain the continuation of services to members?

Responses to TPR 8.a are Confidential and Proprietary

Medical Expense Ratio (Technical Proposal Requirement 8.b)		Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
35.	Does Proposer submit their expected overall medical expense ratio (Total Medical Expenses/Total Revenues) for the proposed Service Area based on expected compensation arrangements?		50	
	When evaluating this question, consider the follow	/ing:		

Medical Expense Ratio (Technical Proposal Requirement 8.b)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
If the Medical Expense Petie recognishly agrees w	ب ما خامان	1	

If the Medical Expense Ratio reasonably agrees with the ratios calculated on the Proposer's projected financial statements, compare that to the scale below. If not, use the lower of the Medical Expense Ratios for scoring this section.

Medical Expense Ratio	Pts
>92.1%	0
90.1 – 92.0 %	2
88.0 – 90.0 %	3
85.0 – 87.9 %	2
80.0 - 84.9%	1
<80.0 %	0

Provider Claims (Technical Proposal Requirement 8.c.1)		Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
36.	To what extent does the Proposer submit the required claims history report for at least the last six months that identifies the percentage of "clean" claims paid within 30, 60 and 90 days of receipt?		10	

In reviewing the Proposer's report, use the following point allocation.

To determine the percentage, use the following formula: Total Claims Processed in 30 days or less / Total Claims Submitted

Percent	Days	Pts
> 98.0%	30 days or less	3
94.1%-98.0%	30 days or less	2
90.0%-94.0%	30 days or less	1
< 90.0 %	30 days orless	0

	Provider Claims (Technical Proposal Requirement 8.c.2.)		Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
37.	Has Proposer submitted the average number of claims processed per month, number of denials per month and the number of provider claims appeals received per month for the last six months?		10 Total (See below)	

D.	Prenatal Care within 7 days of request?	5	
	>14 days 0 pts 8-14 days 2 pts <_7 days 3 pts	3	
E.	Children's Preventive Periodic Health Assessments (Well Child) appointments within 14 days of request? >14 days	5	
F.	Initial Health Assessments within 120 days of enrollment? > 120 days 0 pts ≤ 120 days 3 pts	5	

		ompliance with Accessibility Standards Proposal Requirement 9.b)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
40.	orga mon	what extent does the Proposer describe an nized system to communicate, enforce and itor provider compliance with accessibility dards that includes the following?		20	
	A.	Provider manual.			
	B.	Provider training.			
	C.	Provider newsletters.			
	D.	Facility Site Reviews.			
	E.	Collection of data from Providers.			
	F.	Review of Provider Reports of actual time Nationes.	embers waite	d to get appoir	itment
	G.	Review of Quality Improvement activities re	lated to appoir	ntment standar	ds.
	H.	Recruitment of specialties to reduce appoin	tment waiting	time.	

	-	and Ethnically Diverse Populations Proposal Requirement 9.c.)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
41.		what extent does the Proposer's past erience include the following activities?		20	
	A.	Health Education materials translated into o	lifferent langua	ages.	
	B.	Experience developing Health Education ma	aterials and pr	ograms.	
	C.	Contractual relationships with ethnically dive	erse Providers		
	D.	Translation of written member informing ma	iterials.		
	E.	Experience with Community Based Organiz	ations (CBOs)		
	F.	Assessing, identifying and tracking the linguused as interpreters.	uistic capability	of bilingual sta	aff etc.,

II.	-	nd Ethnically Diverse Populations Proposal Requirement 9.d.)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
42.		nat extent does the Proposer describe nt and/or proposed policies include the ving?		20	
	A.	The assessment of the performance of indiv	iduals who pro	ovide linguistic	services.
	B.	If delegated, guidelines for the translation of informing materials.	Health Educa	tion and memb	per
	C.	Requirement for Providers to collaborate wit issues/needs of its members.	h CBOs to ad	dress the cultu	ral
	D.	Contract requirement that interpreters are a english proficient members at every point of visits etc.			
	E.	Description of how Proposer will perform the Assessment (GNA).	e contractually	required Grou	p Needs
	F.	Provision for Plan and Provider to incorporate GNA, to improve the access of the ethnically			

			1/11 02	20004
(Technical F	ies for Disease Management Systems Proposal Requirement 11.c.)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
C.	Is there a description of the actual approach include the following?	es to disease	management v	which
	1) Mailing of written materials, telephone	e calls, and p	atient incentive	S.
	2) Outreach to providers as well as patie	ents.		
D.	Does the response include a description of the effectiveness of the disease management prused?	he methods u ograms, inclu	sed to evaluate ding what mea	e the sures are
E.	Does Proposer describe any new disease management Medi-Cal population?	anagement pr	ograms propos	sed for the

	gation hnical I	Proposal Requirement 11.d)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
51.	overs	hat extent does the Proposer describe its sight of delegated or contracted Case agement and Coordination of Care activities?		20	
	Whe	n evaluating this question, consider the following	ng:		
	A.	Does the Proposer provide a clear definition	of services th	at are delegate	ed?
	B.	To what extent does the Proposer identify a oversight?	specific perso	on responsible	for
	C.	Do oversight and monitoring procedures included?	lude a process	s to ensure ade	equacy of

FINAL SCORE: Case Management and Coordination of Care	
Total Points Earned	

12. LOCAL HEALTH DEPARTMENT COORDINATION

There is no Evaluation Criteria for Local Health Department Coordination.

13. MEMBER SERVICES

Summary of Points	Maxin Sco		Weight	Total <u>Pts. Possible</u>	
Member Services Program (Technical Proposal Requirement 13.a)	3	Х	15	=	45

Member Services Experience/ Proposed Processes (Technical Proposal Requirement 13.b)	3	x	45	=	135	
Member Call Center						
(Technical Proposal Requirement 13.c.1)	3	Χ	10	=	30	
(Technical Proposal Requirement 13.c.2)	3	Χ	10	=	30	
(Technical Proposal Requirement 13.c.3)	3	X	10	=	30	
Innovative Member Services Activities Technical Proposal Requirement 13.d)	3	Х	10	=	30	
Total Possible Points	3	X	100	=	300	

Evaluation Criteria

	Member Services Program (Technical Proposal Requirement 13.a)		Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
52.	To what extent does the Proposer submit an organization chart that includes the functions of member services staff; the ratio of member service representatives (MSRs) to members; and reporting relationships of member services staff to other areas within the organization?		15	

	ber Services Experience/Proposed Processes hnical Proposal Requirement 13.b)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
53.	To what extent does the Proposer describe its experience and proposed processes for the items below? When evaluating this question, consider the following:		45	
	A. Translation of written member materials:		I	1

- 1) Is translation of member materials conducted by a qualified translator, as described in Enclosure III of MMCD Policy Letter 99-04?
- 2) Are translated materials certified by a professional translator?
- 3) Are translations performed in all threshold languages?
- 4) Does the Proposer identify a specific individual responsible for ensuring the development and dissemination of all translated materials?
- 5) Is there a process to identify members that need translated materials?
- B. Assessment and application of readability levels.
 - Does Proposer describe a recognized system such as the "SMOG" (Simplified measure of Gobbledygook, developed by McLaughlin) to verify that materials are written at the appropriate reading level?

		Experience/Proposed Processes al Requirement 13.b)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
	2)	Does Proposer identify a specific ind readability level of all member mater	ividual respon ials?	sible for ensur	ing the
C.	Hiring	g multi-lingual member service represe	ntatives (MSR	s).	
	1)	Does Proposer have employment po threshold languages and or additional	licies for the h	iring of MSRs	in the
	2)	Does Proposer describe a systematic needs of members (e.g., recording a orientation sessions, and member se	c method for r nd tallying fro	m welcome cal	uage ls,
	3)	Are MSRs tested to determine their a languages other than English?			in

		all Center Proposal Requirement 13.c.1)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned			
54.	desc	what extent does the Proposer provide a clear cription of its call center and mechanisms for itoring the quality of service provided by the Rs?		10				
	Whe	en evaluating this question, consider the following	ng:		I			
	A.	Does Proposer present a systematic method provided by its member service call center?	d for monitorin	g the quality of	service			
	B.	Is there a system to track the number and type of calls?						

Member Call Center (Technical Proposal Requirement 13.c.2)		Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
55.	To what extent does the Proposer's call center report include the following information?		10	
	Average time that it takes a member's call to be a	inswered by a li	ve voice:	
	1-2 minutes = 3 points			
	3-5 minutes = 2 points			
	6-10 minutes = 1 point			
	>10 minutes = 0 points			

	ber Call Center nnical Proposal Requirement 13.c.3)	Points Awarded (0-3)	Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
56.	To what extent does the Proposer's call center report include the following information?		10	
	Average monthly abandonment rate for the call cer	iter?		
	Monthly abandonment rate $\leq 5\% = 5$ points Monthly abandonment rate $> 5\% = 0$ points			

F.	Innovative Member Services Activities (Technical Proposal Requirement 13.d)		Multiplied by Weight	Equals Total Points Earned
57.	To what extent does Proposer describe member services activities beyond Contract requirements that have the potential of improving access to care, quality of care and/or member satisfaction?		10	

FINAL SCORE:	Member Services		
Total Points Ear	rned		

14. MEMBER GRIEVANCE SYSTEM

Summary of Points	Maximum Score		Weight	-	otal Possible
Member Grievance System Staffing (Technical Proposal Requirement 14.a)	3	X	30	=	60
Member Services Experience/Grievance T (Technical Proposal Requirement 14.b)	rends 3	X	60	· =	180
Innovative Activities (Technical Proposal Requirement 14.c	3	X	10	=	30
Total Possible Points	3	X	100	=	300